WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL
Date: 1/16/23 Inspector: KY & BACKS 7000

| Time: | 10:00 Am Weather Conditions: Cloc | 1 p | -is le | Rain 34° |
|----------|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| <u> </u> | | Yes | No | Notes |
| CCR L | andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8 | 4) | energia e propinsi se | |
| Î; | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? | | X | |
| 2. | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? | | X | |
| 3. | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. | | X | |
| CCR Fu | gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4 | ()) | | |
| 4. | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. | X | | |
| 5. | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? | X | | |
| 6. | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? | | X | |
| 7, | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? | | 8 | |
| 8. | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. | | X | |
| 9. | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. | X | | |
| 10. | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question | angun an | X | |
| . 11. | Were the citizen complaints logged? | | 7 | and the second s |

Additional Notes: